Nutritional Status Evaluation of Grazed Yearling Kacang Bucks 
Estimated using Lignin Internal Indicator
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Abstract. In some areas in Indonesia, farmers usually graze their goats during the day and house them at night. The goats eat grass without additional concentrate. However, how much nutrient intakes of grazing goats in Indonesia are seldom calculated. Therefore, this research was set up to investigate the productivity and to estimate the dry matter, protein, and TDN intake of grazed Kacang buck using lignin internal indicator. About 12 heads of Kacang buck, aged 1-1.5 year (the incisors have erupted 1), having the initial body weight of 18.42±1.78 kg (CV= 9.67%) and reared by farmers in Grobogan, Central Java, Indonesia were used in this study. Intake and nutrient digestibility of the buck were calculated using lignin as internal marker. After being reared 86 days, the average daily gains (ADG) of the buck were 3.20±21.14 g (-26.16 g to 53.60 g). Low ADG of the buck was caused by low DMI, protein and TDN intake. The Kacang bucks were usually grazed in the soccer fields, back yards, and roadsides, therefore their intake were lower than their maintenance needed. The averages of DMI, protein and TDN intake were about 1.17±0.17 % their body weight, 30.16±4.18 g and 91.45±11.64 g, respectively. It can be concluded that the productivity of grazing Kacang bucks is relatively very low.

Key words: ADG, digestibility, grazing, intake, Kacang goat

Abstrak. Peternak di beberapa wilayah di Indonesia biasanya menggembalakan kambing di pagi hari kemudian dikandangkan saat malam. Kambing memakan rumput tanpa tambahan konsentrat. Namun berapa banyak asupan nutrisi kambing di Indonesia masih jarang dihitung. Dengan demikian, penelitian ini dilakukan untuk menilai produktivitas dan memperkirakan asupan bahan kering, protein dan TDN pada kambing Kacang yang digembalakan menggunakan indicator lignin internal. Duabelas kambing kacang jantan berusia 1 – 1,5 tahun (incisors have erupted 1), dengan bobot awal 18,42±1,78 kg (CV= 9,67%) dan dipelihara oleh peternak di Grobogan, Jawa Tengah Indonesia digunakan untuk penelitian ini. Asupan dan kecernaan nutrisi kambing dihitung dengan lignin sebagai penanda internal. Setelah dipelihara selama 86 hari, rataan pertambahan bobot badan (PBBH) sebesar 3,20±21,14 g (-26,16 gram sampai 53,60 g). Rendahnya PBBH kambing disebabkan rendahnya asupan BK, protein dan TDN. Kambing Kacang biasanya digembalakan di lapangan sepak bola, pekarangan di belakang rumah, dan tepi jalan sehingga asupannya lebih rendah dari pemeliharaan yang dibutuhkan. Rataan asupan BK, protein dan TDN berturut-turut sebesar 1,17±0,17% bobot badan, 30,16±4,18 g dan 91,45±11,64 g. disimpulkan bahwa produktivitas kambing Kacang yang digembalakan masih sangat rendah.
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Introduction

Goats have an important role in supporting economics of rural area people in Central Java, Indonesia. As reported in agricultural census data in 2013, the number of goats reared Central Java community was 3,461,409 heads, while sheep were only 533,616 heads (BPS, 2013). In addition, the number of goats
slaughtered in 2013 were more than sheep, i.e. 764,645 compared to 333,318 heads, respectively (Central Java Statistics, 2013). It indicated that farmers preferred goats to sheep to rear and slaughter for meat.

Kacang goat is one of the local goats in Indonesia that farmers raise for saving because it can be sold at any time when they need cash and the price is relatively cheap so farmers can afford it. Elieser et al. (2012) stated that most farmers in rural area raise Kacang goats. Badan Litbang Pertanian (2013) reported that Kacang goat is one of the Animal Genetic Resources goats in Indonesia that is very adaptive to the limited environmental conditions (Elieser et al., 2012), especially well adapted to traditional management (Sianipar et al., 2005). This goat is relatively small (Supriadi, 2012), but has a good rate of reproduction (Panjono et al., 2012), especially well reared in smallholder farmers in rural area. In the lowlands of Central Java, Indonesia, 60% of goats were grazed in the fallow fields, roadsides, and soccer fields (Budisatria et al., 2010). The productivity of Kacang goats is low, because they eat grass without additional concentrates. However, research on the amount of feed consumption of graze Kacang goat is limited in Indonesia. The question is whether the graze goats got enough feed intake to meet nutrient needs.

Performance of goats reared traditionally by farmers (in situ) needs investigation because data of feed intake, nutritional status/nutrient adequacy, and body weight gain of graze goats are scarce. The problem is how to measure feed intake of grazing goat. Feed consumption and nutrient digestibility of graze goat were calculated using lignin as internal markers (Coleman, 2005). Dry matter digestibility and dry matter intake were calculated by the formula of Coleman (2005). These data serve as the basis for developing and evaluating the potential production of grazed Kacang goats. This study aims to investigate the performance and nutritional status of yearling grazed Kacang bucks, to determine the production (weight gain) and nutritional status (adequacy of dry matter intake, protein intake, and TDN intake).

**Materials and Method**

The research was carried out to farmers (in situ) who reared Kacang goats in Grobogan, Central Java, Indonesia. The materials used to evaluate the production of grazing goats were 12 male Kacang bucks approximately 1-1.5 years of age with initial body weight 18.42±1.78 kg (CV=9.67%) between 15 and 22 kg. The goats were been chosen based on the characteristics of Kacang goat according to Supriadi (2012) and Kurnianto et al. (2013).

Goats generally graze in the yard around the house/football field/roadside, therefore feed consumption was calculated based on the digestibility of grass consumed using lignin as indicators (internal marker) and the weight of feces per day was measured to calculate the intake (Coleman, 2005).

Feces was collected using cloth feces bag. Adaptation of using feces bag was carried out for 2 weeks during which the feces was collected and measured. After the amount of feces was stable, the total fecal collection was continued everyday for two weeks. Body weight gain was observed before grazing (Ho Bunyeth and Preston, 2006) every other week for 8 weeks.

The parameters observed were nutrient intake and average daily gain (ADG). Feed
consumption and nutrient digestibility were calculated using lignin as internal markers (Coleman, 2005). Dry matter digestibility and dry matter intake were calculated by the formula of Coleman (2005) as followed:

\[
\text{DM digestibility (\%)} = 100 - \left(100 \times \frac{\% \text{ lignin in grass}}{\% \text{ lignin in feces}}\right)
\]

\[
\text{DM intake (g/day)} = \frac{\text{daily fecal excretion}}{(1 - \text{DM digestibility})}
\]

Nutrients digestibility (%) = 100 - \left(100 \times \frac{\% \text{ lignin in grass}}{\% \text{ lignin in feces}} \times \frac{\% \text{ nutrients in feces}}{\% \text{ nutrients in grass}}\right)

Average daily gain (g) was calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Final body weight (g)} - \text{initial body weight (g)} \div \text{days of observation (days)}
\]

Data were analyzed descriptively to evaluate the productivity and nutritional status of grazing Kacang goat. The calculations of nutrients intake were then compared to previous research to determine whether the feed intakes were sufficient for their production.

**Results and Discussion**

Nutrients content of field grass samples are presented in Table 1. The protein content of the grass in this study was lower than 22.60% in lowlands and 21.70% in highlands by Ho Bunyeth and Preston (2006), but higher than 7.8% by Osoro et al. (2007).

Initial body weight of Kacang goats was 18.42±1.78 kg (CV = 9.67%) in 15-22 kg range, then increased after 86-day observation to 18.69±2.87 kg in 12.75 to 23.61 kg range. The goats had 3.20±21.14 g average daily weight gain. A total of 6 goats (50%) showed 1.28 g increase in average body weight to 53.60 g, while others decreased by -0.81 g to -26.16 g.

Dry matter intake (DMI) can be estimated by calculating dry matter digestibility by the formula of Coleman (2005) using data of % lignin in the grass and in the feces. The average lignin content in the grass was 16.52% (Table 1), while the lignin in the feces was about 25.10%-30.15% (27.31 ± 1.76%).

The DMI was calculated using data of dry matter digestibility and the total dry matter feces excreted daily. Dry matter digestibility varied from 34.21% to 45.21% (39.29±3.84%) (Figure 1), but still lower than 57.66%-68.36% of complete feed-fed Kacang goats raised intensively by Restitrisnani et al. (2013). It is because the crude fiber of natural grass (34.87%) is higher than 26.23%-29.89% in the ration used in Restitrisnani et al. (2013).

Total dry matter of feces excreted daily was 103.43 to 172.79 g (131.56±23.90 g). The estimated dry matter intake is presented in Table 2 and Figure 2.

The estimation intake of dry matter (215.86±29.91 g), protein (30.16±14.18 g), and TDN (91.45±11.64 g) of grazing Kacang goats were lower than that of Kacang goat fed with pellet complete feed containing 18.80% CP (Mukminah et al. 2015), scored 220-574 g DMI, 41-108 g CP, and 169-435 g TDN. It can be concluded that Kacang goat grazed in football grass areas, yards around the house and roadsides had not met their needs, both in terms of quantity and quality. These conditions worsened the growth of Kacang goat, even decreasing body weight in some goats. According to Sianipar et al. (2005), 12.80 kg DMI of Kacangbucks was around 3.31% of their body weight. Hango et al. (2007) reported that African goat aged 10-16 months, 17.25 ± 0.70 kg can take 3.19%-3.33% DMI of their body weight. Dry matter intake of grazed Kacang goats in this study was 11.72±1.75 g/kg BW/day. The DMI of grazed Kacang goat was lower than those reported by Aryanto et al. (2013) that voluntary feed intake of Kacang goats reached 29.42 g/kg BW/day.

The low feed digestibility (Figure 1) produced low amount of nutrients that can be utilized by goats for gaining weight. Average daily gain of grazed Kacang goat in this study (3.20±21.14 g/head) was lower than 8 g in Small
East African grazing goats (Mukandiwa et al., 2010), but higher than -25 gram in grazing Cashmere goats (Osoro et al. 2007) Schoenian (2012) stated that grass-fed livestock usually gain lower than grain-fed livestock because of high moisture content in fresh forages.

**Table 1. Nutrients content of field grass**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>DM %</th>
<th>Ash % (in 100% DM)</th>
<th>CP</th>
<th>EE</th>
<th>CF %</th>
<th>NFE</th>
<th>Lignin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20.68</td>
<td>12.01</td>
<td>14.52</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>39.85</td>
<td>29.84</td>
<td>18.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>26.42</td>
<td>11.29</td>
<td>12.98</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>32.71</td>
<td>39.54</td>
<td>14.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>25.81</td>
<td>9.07</td>
<td>14.42</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>32.06</td>
<td>40.49</td>
<td>16.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Averages</td>
<td>24.30</td>
<td>11.12</td>
<td>13.97</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>34.87</td>
<td>36.62</td>
<td>16.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DM: dry matter; CP: crude protein; EE: ether extract; CF: crude fiber; NFE: nitrogen free extract

**Table 2. Nutrients Intakes (g/day) of Grazed Kacang Goats and the Average Daily Gain (g)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>ADG</th>
<th>DMI</th>
<th>EE intake</th>
<th>CP intake</th>
<th>CF intake</th>
<th>NFE intake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>19.77</td>
<td>206.52</td>
<td>7.03</td>
<td>28.86</td>
<td>72.02</td>
<td>75.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>53.60</td>
<td>198.26</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>27.71</td>
<td>69.14</td>
<td>72.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.81</td>
<td>244.78</td>
<td>8.33</td>
<td>34.21</td>
<td>85.37</td>
<td>89.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>20.35</td>
<td>183.71</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>25.67</td>
<td>64.07</td>
<td>67.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-18.37</td>
<td>177.30</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>24.78</td>
<td>61.83</td>
<td>64.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-5.93</td>
<td>224.79</td>
<td>7.65</td>
<td>31.41</td>
<td>78.39</td>
<td>82.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-26.16</td>
<td>216.00</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>30.18</td>
<td>75.33</td>
<td>79.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>10.93</td>
<td>238.09</td>
<td>8.10</td>
<td>33.27</td>
<td>83.03</td>
<td>87.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>240.63</td>
<td>8.19</td>
<td>33.63</td>
<td>83.92</td>
<td>88.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-12.33</td>
<td>166.86</td>
<td>5.68</td>
<td>23.32</td>
<td>58.19</td>
<td>61.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>264.04</td>
<td>8.99</td>
<td>36.90</td>
<td>92.08</td>
<td>96.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-5.35</td>
<td>229.31</td>
<td>7.81</td>
<td>32.04</td>
<td>79.97</td>
<td>83.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>215.86</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>30.16</td>
<td>75.28</td>
<td>79.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sd</td>
<td>21.14</td>
<td>29.91</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>10.43</td>
<td>10.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ADG: average daily gain; DMI: dry matter intake; EE: ether extract; CP: crude protein; CF: crude fibers; NFE: Nitrogen free extract

Figure 1. Nutrients digestibility (%) of grazed Kacang Goats and the average daily gain (g)
The average feed efficiency of graze Kacang yearling was 8.89 (calculated from 6 heads of goats with body weight gain). The feed efficiency of Kacang goats in this study was higher than the ration-fed with 9.20% CP (4.24), but lower than that fed with 11.67% and 18.33% CP ration (10.63 and 11.73) as reported by Restitrisnani et al. (2013). Low feed efficiency of grazed Kacang goats might due to low feed digestibility of the grass and caused low ADG. Restitrisnani et al. (2013) stated that increasing CP and TDN content in the ration of Kacang goat have increased feed digestibility, feed utilization, and feed efficiency.

Conclusions

It can be concluded that nutrients intake, digestible nutrients, and nutrients digestibility of graze Kacang goat calculated using lignin internal indicator was very low. Therefore, it is needed to improve better management system (feeding and health) to increase the performance of graze Kacang goat.
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